# The feasibility of a CrossFit Kids based exercise program for high-functioning children with Autism Spectrum Disorder

Lindsay Heck<sup>1</sup>, Marcus L. Thomeer, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Christopher Lopata, PsyD<sup>2</sup>, Clancy Seymour, EdD<sup>1</sup>, Karl F. Kozlowski, ATC, PhD<sup>1</sup> Department of Kinesiology, <sup>2</sup>Institute for Autism Research; Canisius College, Buffalo, NY



## Introduction

Studies have shown that students with autism (ASD) frequently cannot complete the activities during physical education (PE) class, which is a contributor to a negative experience in PE. Teambased cooperative programs such as organized sports are also not popular among the majority of children with ASD. The body weight-based CrossFit Kids program is geared to create a fun and exciting exercise program for children. The purpose of this study was to assess the feasibility (satisfaction and fidelity) of the CrossFit Kids based GRIFFit high-intensity exercise program during a 5-week summer camp for high-functioning children with ASD (HFASD).

# Methods

The GRIFFit program was run concurrently with a clinically-proven five-week summer camp program (summerMAXTM) for HFASD. The children (n= 35.  $\overline{x}$ :10.14 vrs.) attended one-hour GRIFFit sessions. four days per week. Satisfaction with the program was rated on a seven-point Likert scale with '1' being the lowest score and '7' being the highest score. Satisfaction with the overall program was collected for participants and clinicians at the end of the program. (Tables 1-3) Additionally. participants' satisfaction with both 'individuallycompleted' activities (IC) or 'cooperativelycompleted' activities (CC) was collected at the beginning and end of the program. Fidelity (Figure 1) was monitored for 64.9% of sessions using three research assistants to ensure accuracy of program implementation. Descriptive analysis were calculated for all variables.

### FIGURE 1 - FIDELITY CHECKLIST

ess	ad			Date:	
vii		Starts on Time (Time Started: )	Y		Ends on Time (Time Ended: )
•		Pre-Session Set-Up	•	-	Cool-Down Period
Y		Area is clear	Y	N	Asked what was learned today
÷		Have all materials needed for activity	Ÿ		Asked what was hard today
Ÿ		Staff distributed equally about area of activity	Y	N	Asked what their favorite thing was today
÷		White board lists daily schedule with drawings			Staff summarizes what was learned
•	N	white board lists daily schedule with drawings Instruction Period		N	Running the Session
Y		Reviews Program rules	٧		Staff actively engaged in activity
÷		Review GRIFFit activities for the day	Y	N	Children told to have fun and work hard
÷		Identifies the target skill(s) used today	Y		Staff provided commands appropriately
			•	N.	Joseff provided commands appropriately
Y		Follows target skill steps			
Y	N	Adhered to period time frame			
		Warm-Up Period			
Y		Included review of previously learned skill			
Y		Encouraged children			
Y		Adhered to period time frame			
Y	N	Children allowed an opportunity to hydrate			
-	_	WOO Period			
Y		Skill session introduces/practices movements			
Y		Group practices skill together			
Y		Taught skill as class and not singled out			
Y		Movements are practiced unloaded			
Y		Told what to do rather than what not to do			
Y		WOD timer is set appropriately & mentioned			
Y		Allowed to write score on white board			
Y		Encouraged children			
Y	N	Adhered to period time frame			
Y	N	Children allowed an opportunity to hydrate			
1		Game Period			
Y	N	Game demonstrated and rules explained			
Y	N	Game practiced one time before play			
Y	N	Encouraged children			
Y	N	Adhered to period time frame			
Y		Children allowed an opportunity to hydrate			
Tota	als				
Y	N				
		Percentage: (Out of 37)			Date Reviewed:

### I am satisfied that GRIFFit helped me to perform better at fitness activities

QUESTION

I am satisfied that the GRIFFit activities were fun.

I am satisfied that I learned more about fitness

I am satisfied that I am more fit after being in GRIFFit

I am satisfied that the GRIFFit counselors helped me to learn the activities.

I am satisfied that GRIFFit beloed me to learn new skills that I did not know in the past

Compared to other littless and sport programs that mave participated in, GRIFFICIS.				
My overall feeling about GRIFFit is:				
	TABLE 2 – STAFF OVERALL SATISFACTION			
QUESTION		MEAN	s	
I was adequately informed of the	e duties and responsibilities expected of me.	6.86	0.3	
The pre-training readings helped programming.	d me to better understand Autism Spectrum Disorder and exercise	6.86	0.3	
The GRIFFit manual provided me with critical and necessary information on how to implement the treatment protocol.				
The training week activities prior program.	to the program was beneficial for my ability to implement the	7.00	0.0	
Supervisors effectively responde	ed to questions and concerns in a timely fashion.	7.00	0.0	
Supervisory feedback that I receimplementing the protocol.	ived during the program was helpful in improving my performance	6.86	0.3	
I would recommend this experie	nce to other students.	7.00	0.0	
My overall feeling about GRIFFit	t is:	7.00	0.0	

TABLE 1 - CHILD OVERALL SATISFACTION

### TABLE 3 - CHILD INDIVIDUAL VS. COOPERATIVE POST SATISFACTION

QUESTION	INDIVIDUAL SESSION [MEAN(SD)]	COOP SESSION [MEAN(SD)]
I am satisfied that today's GRIFFit activities were fun.	6.55 (1.50)	6.13 (2.00)
I am satisfied that the GRIFFit counselors helped me learn today's lesson.	6.06 (1.86)	5.72 (2.13)
I am satisfied that I learned new skills today that I did not know in the past.	5.55 (2.11)	4.94 (2.30)
I am satisfied that today's GRIFFit helped me to perform better at fitness activities.	6.35 (1.62)	5.75 (2.05)
I am satisfied that I learned more about fitness today.	6.19 (1.62)	5.69 (2.07)
I would like more activities like today's GRIFFit.	6.45 (1.52)	6.05 (1.83)
Compared to other fitness and sport programs that I have participated in, the activities today were the:	6.29 (1.57)	5.75 (1.80)
My overall feeling about today's activities is:	6.42 (1.52)	5.78 (2.03)

# Results

MEAN

6.29 1.58

6.46

6.60

6 26

The participant's overall program satisfaction was 6.40/7. (Table 1) Staff satisfaction with the program was 6.94/7. (Table 2) The participant's IC and CC pre-program satisfaction averaged 5.68 and 6.0/7 respectively. The participant's IC post-program satisfaction was calculated to 6.23/7, while the CC post-program satisfaction was calculated to be 5.72/7 (Table 3), This possibly supports the theory that HFASD prefer to participate in activities on an individual basis rather than cooperative activities. The fidelity of program implementation averaged 93.7% over five weeks. The inter-rater reliability between the research assistants over the exercise sessions sessions averaged 100%.

# Discussion

This study demonstrated that a CrossFit Kidsbased high-intensity exercise program for children with HFASD was feasible. Child participants and the program staff were equally satisfied with the program and the program was implemented with a high degree of accuracy. Qualitative responses from participants include "I liked the WOD. Teamwork was very important back then!" and "I liked today's activities because they were a lot of fun and it was not all work". These responses tell us that participants were satisfied with the program. The overall satisfaction of the participants and the staff were a positive result of the study and a subsequent program is planned for Summer 2017.

# Acknowledgements

Partial funding for this project through the Canisius Earned Excellence Program (CEEP).